



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/674,046 09/29/2		0/29/2003	Andrew John Farnsworth	555255012582	2586		
44208	7590	02/03/2006		EXAMINER			
DOCKET C	LERK		SMITH, S	SMITH, SHEILA B			
PO BOX 126	808						
DALLAS, T	X 75225		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2681				
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/2006				

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			046	FARNSWORTH E	FARNSWORTH ET AL.				
			er	Art Unit	·				
		Sheila B		2681					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply/	cation appears on ti	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress –				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 30 September	2005.						
·	· ·								
- '=	Since this application is in condition for	·—		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
/—	closed in accordance with the practic	•		•					
Disposition of Claims									
		dication							
-	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement						
	· · · — ·		roquiromont.						
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the		_						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notic 3) Inform	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pedlar (U. S. Patent Publication Number 2004/0224686) in view of Vialen et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,898,429)

Regarding claim 1, Pedlar discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, in addition Pedlar discloses a apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment, further Pedlar discloses a method of responding to a Cell or URA Update Confirm message received in a user equipment in a communications system, the method comprising the steps of: receiving a Cell or URA Update Confirm message; determining whether the message places the user equipment in a state that requires a response prior to entering the state; determining whether the message contains a C-RNTI element; and in the event that a response is required and that the message contains a C-RNTI element, using the element to send a response message (which reads on paragraphs 0011, 0033, 0034). However Padlar fails to disclose a new C-RNTI element.

In the same field of endeavor Vialen et al. discloses a identifier allocation method.

Vialen et al. discloses the use of a new C-RNTI element as disclosed in column 4 lines 63-67.

Art Unit: 2681

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinaryskill in the art at the time of invention was made to improve Pedlar by modifying the apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment with the use of a new C-RNTI element as taught by Vialen et al. for the purpose of shorting the common channel messages and to save capacity on common radio channels.

Regarding claim 2, Pedlar discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Pedlar discloses a in the event that the message does not contain a C-RNTI element, using an existing C-RNTI element to send the response message (which reads on paragraphs 0033,0034). However Padlar fails to disclose a new C-RNTI element.

In the same field of endeavor Vialen et al. discloses a identifier allocation method.

Vialen et al. discloses the use of a new C-RNTI element as disclosed in column 4 lines 63-67.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinaryskill in the art at the time of invention was made to improve Pedlar by modifying the apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment with the use of a new C-RNTI element as taught by Vialen et al. for the purpose of shorting the common channel messages and to save capacity on common radio channels.

Regarding claim 3, Pedlar discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Pedlar discloses a entering the state after sending the response message (which reads on paragraphs 0033,0034).

Regarding claim 4, Pedlar discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Pedlar discloses a wherein the state comprises the CELL\_PCH or URA\_PCH state. (which reads on paragraphs 0011, 0012).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 5, Pedlar discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, in addition Pedlar discloses a apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment, further Pedlar discloses a user equipment for providing a response to a Cell or URA Update Confirm message in a communications system, the equipment comprising: means for receiving a Cell or URA Update Confirm message; means for determining whether the message places the user equipment in a state that requires a response prior to entering the state; means for determining whether the message contains a C-RNTI element; and in the event that a response is required and that the message contains a C-RNTI element, means for sending a response message using the C-RNTI element (which reads on paragraphs 0011,0012, 0034). However Padlar fails to disclose a new C-RNTI element.

In the same field of endeavor Vialen et al. discloses a identifier allocation method.

Vialen et al. discloses the use of a new C-RNTI element as disclosed in column 4 lines 63-67.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinaryskill in the art at the time of invention was made to improve Pedlar by modifying the apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment with the use of a new C-RNTI element as taught by Vialen et al. for the purpose of shorting the common channel messages and to save capacity on common radio channels.

Regarding claim 6, Pedlar discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 1) additionally, Pedlar discloses a the event that the message does not contain a C-RNTI element, using an existing C-RNTI element for sending the response message (which reads on paragraph 0034). However Padlar fails to disclose a new C-RNTI element.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,046 Page 5

Art Unit: 2681

In the same field of endeavor Vialen et al. discloses a identifier allocation method.

Vialen et al. discloses the use of a new C-RNTI element as disclosed in column 4 lines 63-67.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinaryskill in the art at the time of invention was made to improve Pedlar by modifying the apparatus and method of uplink data during cell update in universal mobile telecommunications system user equipment with the use of a new C-RNTI element as taught by Vialen et al. for the purpose of shorting the common channel messages and to save capacity on common radio channels.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,046

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (571)272-7847. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith 5, 5 January 22, 2006

Page 6